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The distribution of health conditions is characterized by extreme inequality. These disparities have been alternately attributed to disease ecology and the economics of poverty. Here, we provide a novel framework that integrates epidemiological and economic growth theory on an individual-based hierarchically structured network. Our model indicates that, under certain parameter regimes, feedbacks between disease ecology and economics create clusters of low income and high disease that can stably persist in populations that become otherwise predominantly rich and free of disease. Surprisingly, unlike traditional poverty trap models, these localized disease-driven poverty traps can arise despite homogeneity of parameters and evenly distributed initial economic conditions.

1. Introduction

The distribution of health and economic conditions across the globe is characterized by extreme inequality, both between and within countries [1,2]. Because prevention and treatment of diseases require economic resources, the social science literature often attributes disparities in disease burdens to disparities in economics [3,4]. The most common explanations for such heterogeneity in the economics literature can be broadly grouped into two schools of thought: (i) variation in parameters (i.e. in aggregate or household ‘production functions’) [5,6]; or (ii) variation in state variables (i.e. ‘initial conditions’) [7]. The literature on poverty traps often focuses on the latter concept that different initial conditions can result in different economic trajectories owing to feedbacks between income and capital accumulation, often defined broadly to include savings, education or health [8–10]. In each case, the role of initial conditions on long-term outcomes has been used as a theoretical justification for external policy interventions, such as healthcare or education.

While the role of disease on poverty traps has long been recognized by economists [11], formal models have tended to focus on individual-level processes (e.g. malnutrition) and have been generally independent of ecological theory. The central importance of an ecological perspective is that population-level processes have a distinctly different character than individual processes. This is especially relevant for infectious diseases for which transmission between hosts is a basic life-history requirement.

Although it is broadly taken for granted in the social sciences that heterogeneity in outcomes is due to heterogeneity in underlying determinants, infectious disease models, rooted in dynamical systems theory and based on...
a substantial body of evidence, suggest many potential causes of heterogeneity. A single infectious disease system with a homogeneous population can exhibit complex behaviour across space and time, from stationary states to travelling waves, to periodic and chaotic dynamics [12,13]. These disease processes comprise the real-world underlying structure of the dynamics of human capital of the poor, and point to a potentially new family of models for formalizing the structure of health-related economic ‘externalities’.

In the past couple of years, a new body of theory has been put forth on disease-driven poverty traps that is based on explicit epidemiological models [14–16]. This has expanded our theoretical understanding of the potential role of infectious diseases on poverty traps, but also has fundamental limitations of its own. This literature is rooted in ecological theory and explicitly captures population-level processes. However, it is not integrated with economic growth theory, which tends to emphasize the role of the systematic accumulation of capital (or wealth), which may offset the destabilizing effects of fluctuations in income. In addition, these models suppress individual-level effects and the potential complex outcomes that may arise within real-world populations. Our goal here is to develop a theoretical framework that integrates both ecological and economic theory, and accounts for interactions between population-level processes and individual conditions.

To understand how the inherent structure of disease dynamics may feed back on economics at a local level, we turn to network theory [17−20]. Because the basic reproductive number, $R_0$, of an infectious disease depends on the variance of the distribution of number of contacts [21], the topology of contact networks determines critical epidemiological parameters. For example, contact networks with degree distributions with infinite variance (such as the scale-free networks that characterize human sexual contacts) do not have epidemic thresholds [22]. However, scale-free networks with additional structure do have finite epidemic thresholds, underlining the importance of the community structure on the qualitative behaviour of disease dynamics [23].

Here, we provide a novel framework that integrates a hierarchically structured network model of an infectious disease with a formal economic growth model. We find that feedbacks between disease ecology and economics can create clusters of low income and high disease that can stably persist. We simulate the coupled epidemiological and economic processes on an individual-based network model. We generate random transmission networks with a given degree of community structure, ranging from 0 to 1. The nodes in the network represent individuals, and the vertices (links) represent disease transmission. As in the classic compartmentalized SIS systems, transmission occurs between pairs of susceptible and infected individuals at a rate $\beta$, which is determined by the income $m$ of the susceptible individual:

$$\beta(m) = \frac{\beta \eta}{m + \eta}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.1)

This transmission function indicates that poorer individuals are more susceptible to disease. Similarly, the rate of recovery $\gamma$ also depends on individual incomes such that poorer individuals take longer to recover (e.g. owing to undernutrition or lack of medical treatment):

$$\gamma(m) = \frac{\gamma m}{m + k}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.2)

These transmission and recovery functions are drawn from previous studies [15,16].

For each individual, we track human capital $h_i$ physical capital $k_i$ and total income $m_i$, which are updated according to the following equations:

$$h_i(t + \Delta t) = h_i(t) + \Delta t[r_i m_i(t)(1 - I_i(t)) - \epsilon_i h_i] + \theta h_i(t)\sqrt{\Delta t}Z_{i,1+\Delta t}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.3)

$$k_i(t + \Delta t) = k_i(t) + \Delta t[r_i m_i(t) - \epsilon_i k_i] + \delta k_i(t)\sqrt{\Delta t}Z_{i,1+\Delta t}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.4)

and

$$m_i(t + \Delta t) = h_i(t + \Delta t)^{\sigma}k_i(t + \Delta t)^{\rho}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.5)

Equation (2.5) represents a classic production function where income is determined by a combination of physical capital $k_i$ and human capital $h_i$, which exhibit diminishing returns on investment (i.e. $0 < \sigma + \rho < 1$). Individuals invest a proportion of their current income in human and physical capital at savings rates $r_i$ and $r_h$ respectively. Human and physical capital depreciate at rate $\epsilon_i$ and $\delta_i$. Importantly, when individuals are sick, they cease to acquire human capital and thus steadily lose income.

To analyse the system, we use the Gillespie algorithm to run the simulations until equilibrium, and then calculate summary statistics based on the distribution of long-run average income and the proportion of time spent infected. The simulations are started with half of the population (randomly) infected, and all individuals with half of the maximum possible income. This is the closest approximation to truly uniformly distributed initial conditions; the randomness of the network structure inevitably

2. Material and methods

Our general framework expands from previous studies on disease-driven poverty traps [15,16], and is structured in accordance with two canonical theoretical frameworks in respective epidemiology and economics literatures: (i) the susceptible–infected–susceptible (SIS) framework, which has been applied to a wide range of disease systems that serially reinfect their hosts and that are known to be both causes and consequences of poverty, such as malaria and enteric pathogens, and (ii) the neoclassical economic growth model with human capital as a function of health status [24]. The system is coupled via the following three empirically based assumptions: (i) income is determined by the acquisition of human and physical capital; (ii) human capital accumulation is a function of health status; and (iii) disease transmission and recovery rates are determined by income. The first assumption is a universal property of neoclassical economic growth models [25]. The second assumption is based on a recently growing literature in economics and epidemiology that health status influences cognitive development, and schooling with long-term impacts on economic productivity [26–28]. The final assumption is based on the fact that prevention and treatment of infectious diseases requires economic resources, which is why poverty is a social determinant of disease.
results in initial heterogeneities in contact structure and disease state.

3. Results

Feedbacks between disease and income generate clusters of poverty and disease in our simulated networks. Figure 1 depicts the result of one simulation, showing the long-run average income and proportion of time spent infected for each individual. There is an obvious cluster of poor and sick individuals, representing a neighborhood that has fallen into a localized disease-driven poverty trap. Moreover, these divergent outcomes are independent of initial conditions (electronic supplementary material, figure S1). As expected, coupling income and health together results in a correlation between long-term income and time spent infected (figure 1b).

To test whether both forces (income-to-disease and disease-to-income) are necessary to generate the observed clusters of poverty, we turn off the feedbacks individually and measure the resulting distribution of income inequality (Theil index; see electronic supplementary material for details). The results indicate that both feedbacks are necessary to generate the localized poverty traps (figure 2c). To explore the role of the infectious disease process in the creation of income disparities, we run 200 simulations for each value of the transmission parameter, $b_1$, and record the observed inequality as well as the mean prevalence (figure 2). Below a certain threshold, transmission cannot be sustained, and thus the only variance in income is due to the stochasticity in the income growth process. However, when the disease is able to stably persist, the observed income inequality rises significantly, evidence that the disease process is allowing for stable disparities in income. The income inequality peaks when disease transmission is
4. Discussion

The existing microeconomic literature on poverty traps often focuses on feedbacks between income and human capital at the level of the individual or household, and emphasizes the effects of different initial economic conditions. In our model, clusters of poverty and disease result from two kinds of feedbacks: (i) feedbacks between health and economic conditions at the individual level and (ii) feedback between individual- and population-level health conditions (i.e. from disease transmission). Thus, through the inherent structure of disease dynamics, individual economic conditions are connected to their broader communities. These feedbacks can thus lead to high levels of income and health disparities in otherwise economically homogeneous populations.

Community structure, as well as network structure in general, is becoming appreciated for its importance in determining the observed properties of disease dynamics [29], whether it be high rates of HIV and other STDs in sexual networks with high concurrency [30], or in the role of community structure in explaining the observed power-law distribution in epidemic size and duration [31]. Our results suggest that community structure may have more far-reaching consequences as a factor in the formation and maintenance of pockets of persistent poverty and long-term stable health inequalities.

Social epidemiology, a field dedicated to studying health disparities in various social, economic and ethnic groups, has often focused on the concept of neighbourhood effects [32]. From inner-city neighbourhoods in the developed world, where much of the social epidemiology research has been carried out, to urban slums in the developing world [33], it is increasingly clear that the human environment directly affects the health of communities. Our model is meant to serve as an important framework for exploring such concepts based on formal ecological and economic theory. Our results show that persistent neighbourhood-level differences in health outcomes can arise from individual-level risk factors as long as one accounts for the web of human connections. While our study is based on a simple SIS model, we conjecture that the basic drivers of the system—economic and epidemiological feedback—may be relevant to a wide range of infectious and parasitic disease systems. Indeed, with the increasing evidence that even chronic diseases can have infectious components [34], in addition to the economic dimensions of prevention and treatment, our work should motivate further study into the causative role of transmission networks in the formation and maintenance of general health and economic disparities.
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