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Problems in biology with many scales of length: Cell–cell adhesion and cell jamming in collective cellular migration
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A B S T R A C T

As do all things in biology, cell mechanosensation, adhesion and migration begin at the scale of the molecule. Collections of molecules assemble to comprise microscale objects such as adhesions, organelles and cells. And collections of cells in turn assemble to comprise macroscale tissues. From the points of view of mechanism and causality, events at the molecular scale are seen most often as being the most upstream and, therefore, the most fundamental and the most important. In certain collective systems, by contrast, events at many scales of length conspire to make contributions of equal importance, and even interact directly and strongly across disparate scales. Here we highlight recent examples in cellular mechanosensing and collective cellular migration where physics at some scale bigger than the cell but smaller than the tissue – the mesoscale – becomes the missing link that is required to tie together findings that might otherwise seem counterintuitive or even unpredictable. These examples, taken together, establish that the phenotypes and the underlying physics of collective cellular migration are far richer than previously anticipated.
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1. Introduction

Understanding cell adhesion and associated mechanisms of mechanosensing remain critical challenges in explaining multiple facets of health and disease, including but not limited to development, wound healing, asthma, cardiovascular disease, and cancer. One finds in the literature two main contrasting approaches. Recent literature emphasizes the microscopic, bottom-up, granular approach in the context of specific molecules, their roles and their interdependencies [1–3]. This can range from identifying mechanically sensitive actin-linkers [4], to characterizing signaling pathways, [5] to finding downstream effectors of mechanotransduction such as YAP/TAZ [6]. The older literature, by contrast, emphasizes the macroscopic, coarse-grained, top-down approach in the context of mechanical forces, fields, and integrative physiological function. In addition to the classical work of Thompson [7], specific examples of the latter are Wolff's law [8] for adaptation of bone structure to the load that the bone supports, Murray's Law [9] for adaptation of blood vessel diameter to the flow that the vessel carries, and McMahon's [10–12] principle of elastic similarity to explain allometric variations of energy...
metabolism, muscle mass, and bone size with body mass.

If each were carried to its logical conclusions, one might expect that microscopic and macroscopic approaches, when taken together, would dovetail seamlessly to create a satisfying and comprehensive physical picture. The expectation, then, would be one of complementary parts combining, ultimately and inevitably, to form a complete logical framework that spans all pertinent scales of length. While such cases clearly exist, as when a single mutation affecting mechanosensing can be tied directly to macroscopic effects and disease [13], there is reason to believe that such an expectation might be illusory more generally in biology. At the intermediate scale – the mesoscale – pivotal phenomenon can and do emerge that are at once hidden at the macroscale but are not anticipated by or predictable from the microscale [14]. Nevertheless, at the level of integrated system behavior they become crucial. In the particular context of collective cellular migration, we provide here several such examples [15–20]. Because of its importance in wound healing, development, and cancer, collective cellular migration has been of interest for more than 100 years [21], but, as regards mechanosensing, it has been only in recent years that the mechanical stresses exerted between each cell and its substrate [22, 23], and between each cell and its immediate neighbors [24–26], have been measured and mapped.

2. Plithotaxis and kenotaxis

We begin with the example of collective cellular migration and the recently discovered mesoscopic phenomenon called plithotaxis. Epithelial cells comprising a confluent layer are known to move in cooperative streaks, strands, packs and clusters [27], but the intercellular mechanical stresses that drive these local cell motions were for a long time a matter of pure speculation. Tambe et al. [24, 25, 28] first measured these stresses within the confluent cell layer and showed that these stresses can fluctuate dramatically from cell to cell and from moment to moment; that is to say, intercellular stresses are typified by a dynamic heterogeneity [29] wherein fluctuations in space and time dominate. Moreover, Tambe et al. established that each individual cell within the layer can exhibit a strongly preferred stress orientation; that is to say, in addition to dynamic heterogeneity, the field of intercellular stress tends to be strongly anisotropic. When they examined the relationship between motions and stresses, they found that each cell within a cellular collective tends to move along a local orientation corresponding to that in which it pulls hardest upon its immediate neighbors; that stress is called the maximum principal stress, and that orientation is called the maximum principal orientation. Plithotaxis therefore implies the seemingly simple notion that the orientations of local cellular motions and local cellular stresses tend to coincide. However, in proximity to an island in which cells cannot adhere to the substrate, and therefore the monolayer has a cell-free boundary, plithotaxis breaks down altogether; the orientations of local cellular motions versus local cellular stresses tend to depart from one another dramatically and systematically [30]. Even as the cell migrates parallel to the boundary of the island, cellular tractions polarize so as to pull perpendicular to that boundary; this mesoscopic phenomenon of cells pulling toward a cell-free void is called kenotaxis.[31]

But whether near such a boundary or far from it, through what molecular processes does a cell within the cell cluster sense mechanical stresses exerted between itself and its immediate neighbors, and then use that information to coordinate its motion with that of the integrated cell cluster? In the mesoscopic process of plithotaxis, Das et al. [32] showed that the tumor suppressor merlin plays a key role. As intracellular stresses build up locally within a constituent cell of the layer, merlin disassociates from cortical cell–cell junctions and enters the cytoplasm. Merlin disassociation then leads to Rac1 activation and polarization, and, ultimately, to lamellipodium formation aligned along the direction of the maximal principal stress. Indeed, the orientation of Rac1 polarization matches stress alignment in the presence of merlin, whereas cells lacking merlin do not show alignment of Rac1 polarity and direction of maximal principal stress. Merlin is not required for cellular motion nor does it affect the development intercellular stress. Nevertheless, through this mechanism, merlin is shown to account for the long range cooperativity and alignment of cellular motions and intercellular stresses. Clearly, without measuring mechanical stress our understanding of merlin polarization would likely seem a perplexing process and, conversely, understanding cell alignment without merlin polarization would seem equally perplexing. But in the example of plithotaxis we now see how polarizations of local cellular motions, mechanical stresses, merlin and Rac1 link together across scales to provide an integrated physical picture [32].

3. The intercellular adhesome

The success of tying plithotaxis to a specific mechanotransduction pathway raises hope that similar meetings at the mesoscale can be found. In the epithelial cell sheet, for example, adhesion molecules associated with tight junctions, adherens junctions, desmosomes, and gap junctions have a role in the development of monolayer stresses that keep the layer continuous, intact and advancing to fill a wound or grow a tissue. Identification of distinct roles of specific molecules is complicated experimentally because knocking down one adhesion molecular could, in principle, cause overexpression of another by compensatory mechanisms. Taking that issue into account, Bazellieres et al. [33] identified distinct mechanical phenotypes that could be tied to groups of adhesion molecules, but in ways that proved to be most unexpected. For example, despite distinct loci in adherens junctions versus tight junctions, knocking down of P-cadherin versus occludin results in quite similar phenotypes, both being characterized by augmented migration speed together with reduced intracellular stress (top panels Fig. 1). And despite similar loci – both within tight junctions, knocking down of ZO-1 versus ZO-3 results in highly dissimilar phenotypes; knocking down of ZO-3 reduces intracellular stress as might have been anticipated, whereas knocking down of ZO-1 causes just the opposite, increasing intracellular stress even as it increases migration speed (bottom panels, Fig. 1). The knocking down of ZO-1 produces a distinct mechanical phenotype compared to all other adhesome proteins. This raises the natural question, could ZO-1 be directly tied to a mechanotransduction pathway in much the same way merlin is tied to plithotaxis?

Bazellieres et al. [33] were also able to highlight the unanticipated roles of some specific molecules, P-cadherin and E-cadherin in particular, in the development of monolayer stresses across these different phenotypes. Surprisingly, P-cadherin but not E-cadherin is linked to the magnitude of intercellular tensile stress, whereas E-cadherin is linked to the temporal build-up of intercellular stress. Although these roles extend across mechanical phenotypes, if E-cadherin is removed then P-cadherin assumes the role of E-cadherin in mechanotransduction.

4. Cell sorting and differential adhesion

Another meeting at the mesoscale involves organogenesis, cell sorting and the differential adhesion hypothesis (DAH) [34,35]. If distinct cell types are mixed in vitro, they segregate reproducibly
into different homogeneous domains with one cell type becoming engulfed by the other. While chemical cues are clearly involved, this demixing of cells has striking similarities to the phase separation of immiscible Newtonian fluids [34,35]. Indeed, the rate of demixing and the topology of the inner versus outer domains can be predicted by measuring a tissue surface tension. For adherent, contractile cells, this tissue surface tension is set by a competition between cell–cell adhesion and cortical contractile force [36,37]. Interestingly, in embryonic cells where cell sorting is thought to play a key role in organogenesis, the tissue surface tension correlates with total amount of cadherins and provides a direct link between the molecular origin and the physics observed. Recently, however, this tidy picture has been upset, and found to embody much richer physics than previously thought.

Fig. 1. Bazellieres et al. [33] measured the effect of knocking down different proteins on monolayer mechanics. Cells were confined to a known geometry as then released at \( t=0 \) h to migrate into free space as the investigators monitored monolayer spread area in phase contrast (PC), average velocity along the spreading direction (Vx), the traction stress exerted by each cell upon its substrate (Vx), and intercellular stress exerted by each cell upon its neighbors (\( \sigma_{xx} \)). Knocking down of P-cadherin (Pcad) and occludin (OCLN) results in a mechanical phenotype with faster migration velocity and somewhat weaker monolayer stresses compared to control cells. Knocking down ZO-1 results in a phenotype with faster migration and higher monolayer stress, whereas knocking down ZO-3 results in a phenotype with slower migration and weaker monolayer stress compared to control cells. Reprinted by permission from Macmillan Publishers Ltd: Nature Cell Biology [33], copyright 2015.
Pawlizak et al. [20] reexamined the DAH in certain cancer cell lines across the epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition (EMT). During EMT, the amount of cadherins expressed at the cell–cell junction declines and the ratio of different types of cadherins changes, suggesting the tissue surface tension should also change. While Pawlizak et al. [20] found tissue surface tension changes after EMT, they observed that cell–cell adhesive stresses fail to correlate with the amount of cadherins. Surprisingly, they found that after EMT neither cell–cell adhesive stresses nor cadherin amount predicts cell sorting. Moreover, some cell types no longer behave as Newtonian fluids at all, thus violating the fundamental premise upon which the DAH rests. To understand cell sorting in these cases, Pawlizak et al. [20] implicated an altogether different physical principle, namely, cell jamming.

5. Cell jamming and the case of the bronchial epithelium

Like coffee beans in a chute, collective cellular systems can sometimes flow but can sometimes jam; the macroscopic properties of the system arise from changes of groups of particles or cells at the mesoscale. Whether living or inert, when the collective is jammed it develops shape stability and elastic stresses much as does a solid. But when the collective is unjammed its shape stability is lost, its elastic stresses vanish, and it can flow like a fluid. Transitions of a collective system between jammed and unjammed states remain poorly understood, even in inert granular systems. Investigation of cell jamming in certain diseases, including cancer [19,20] and asthma [17], are just now beginning appearing in the literature.

In asthma, the injury–repair response of the airway epithelium is known to be aberrant and impaired, and has been implicated in early stages of disease pathogenesis. Underlying mechanisms
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remain unknown, however. Recent findings by Park et al. [17] suggest that cell jamming may play a central role. When airway epithelial cells from healthy human donors are cultured in air–liquid interface, they are initially unjammed, but as they mature and differentiate over 14 days they eventually jam. This transition to the jammed state can be disturbed, however, by external stimuli or disease conditions. For example, compressive stresses that mimic the effects of bronchoconstriction, a process that typifies an asthmatic exacerbation, provoke the transition of the mature epithelial layer from the jammed state back to the unjammed state. Furthermore, when cells are cultured from asthmatic donors, the transition to jamming is significantly delayed, and this delay potentially reflects dysmaturity of the asthmatic cell layer and thus helps to explain why the airway epithelium in the asthmatic has an impaired injury–repair process and is susceptible to injury. One might logically expect that cell jamming is promoted by increased cell–cell adhesion but, paradoxically, just the opposite trend prevails. Cells from asthmatic donors exert greater intercellular stresses across their junction with neighboring cells, a finding that suggests that asthmatic cells exhibit greater cell–cell adhesive stresses. This paradox can be explained by the vertex model of cell jamming [36,38], which considers energy barriers that impede mutual cellular rearrangements. The theory holds that these mechanical energy barriers are attributable in part to the cell–cell junction and can be expressed in terms of a net line tension. This net line tension, in turn, has two competing components: a contractile energy that is always positive and adhesive energy that is always negative (Fig. 2). When adhesive energy is smaller than contractile energy, the net line tension is positive, the energy barriers to mutual cellular rearrangements are appreciable, and as a result the system jams. But when adhesive energy exceeds contractile energy, then the net line tension becomes negative, the energy barriers to mutual cellular rearrangements vanish, and as a result the system unjams and can flow. Curiously, this transition is tied uniquely to a critical parameter describing cell shape. In experiments performed independently of this theoretical prediction, this and other counterintuitive predictions of the theory of cell jamming have been confirmed [17]. Taken together, these findings highlight the need to account for the mesoscopic physics at play in collective cellular systems and the challenge of assigning disease to specific molecular actors. Jamming in the bronchial epithelium is controlled by the competition between adhesion and contractility, and would thus be influenced by molecules as wide ranging as E-cadherin, myosin motors, or actin cross-linkers. Because these molecules likely act in concert to precipitate disease, tying cell jamming to the role of specific molecules is likely to prove challenging.

6. Conclusions

The surprising findings highlighted here, when taken together, now establish that the phenotypes of collective cellular migration, as well as the underlying physics, are far richer than previously anticipated. In that connection, we call attention to the classical paper by the Nobel laureate Kenneth G. Wilson [14], in which he emphasizes that events distinguished by great disparities in scale each scale can be treated independently of the others. He uses the example of an ocean wave, which can be well described as a disturbance in a continuous liquid without regard to molecular structure of water. But in certain classes of collective systems, he points out, events at many scales of length conspire to make contributions of equal importance, and even interact directly and strongly across those scales. Just as the inert collective systems that were his focus are typified by spontaneous fluctuations and critical transitions between phases of matter, so too we now understand that cellular collectives display many of these same features [17,36,38–40]. These features innately span many scales of length, and will likely come increasingly into play in the understanding development, wound healing, cardiovascular disease, asthma, and cancer.
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